

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/517,608	03/02/2000	Simon Robert Walmsley	AUTH10US	4148
7590 08/01/2006			EXAMINER	
Kia Silverbrook			NGUYEN, NGA B	
Silverbrook Res	search Pty Ltd			
393 Darling Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Balmain, 2041 AUSTRALIA			3628	
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/517,608	WALMSLEY, SIMON ROBERT				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nga B. Nguyen	3628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) ∑	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	March 2006					
3)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dii4	·	expanto quayro, 1000 c.b. 11, 1	00 0.0.210.				
•	ion of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9,11-19,21,22 and 24-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9,11-19,21,22 and 24-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) rr No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/517,608 Page 2

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is the answer to the communication filed on May 12, 2006, which paper has been placed of record in the file.

2. Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11-19, 21, 22, and 24-29 are pending in this application.

Response to Arguments/Amendment

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11-19, 21, 22, and 24-29 have been considered but are moot in view of new ground of rejection.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/517,608 Page 3

Art Unit: 3628

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11-19, 21, 22, and 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigenaga, U.S. Patent No. 4,710,613, in view of Lee, U.S. Patent No. 5,923,759.

Regarding to claim 1, Shigenaga discloses a consumable authentication protocol for validating the authenticity of an untrusted authentication chip (column 6, lines 1-53, IC card 2 is equivalent to the untrusted authentication chip), the protocol includes the steps of:

generating an original random number in a trusted authentication chip (column 7, lines 45-48; a random number is generated from random number data generator 120 of card terminal 1, card terminal 1 is equivalent to a trusted authentication chip);

applying, in the trusted authentication chip, an asymmetric encrypt function to the original random number using a first key from the trusted authentication chip to produce a first encrypted outcome (column 7, lines 59-60, the RSA encrypter 121 in the card terminal 1 encrypts the random number using public key code, the card terminal 1 is equivalent to the trusted authentication chip, RSA encryption is asymmetric encryption function);

passing the first encrypted outcome to the untrusted authentication chip (column 7, lines 60-67, the encryption data, i.e. the encrypted random number is sent to IC card 2 from card terminal 1);

decrypting, in the untrusted authentication chip, the first encrypted outcome with an asymmetric decrypt function using a second secret key from the untrusted authentication chip to produce a second decrypted outcome (column 7, line 65-column 8, line 12; decrypting in the IC card 2 the encrypted random number by the RSA decrypter 263 using the private key code from the IC card 2);

comparing the decrypted random number and the decrypted data message with the original random number and the received original data message, without knowledge of the second secret key; and in the event of a match, considering the untrusted chip and the data message to be valid; otherwise considering the untrusted chip and the data message to be invalid (column 8, lines 28-42, 63-66, the decrypted random number is compared with the original random number by the comparison unit 15, without knowledge of the private key code stored in the IC card 2).

Shigenaga does not disclose applying, in the untrusted authentication chip, an asymmetric encrypt function to the second decrypted outcome together with an original data message read from the untrusted authentication chip using the second secret key to produce a third encrypted outcome; passing the third outcome together with the original data message to the trusted authentication chip; decrypting, in the trusted authentication chip, the third encrypted outcome with an asymmetric decrypt function using the first key to produce a decrypted random number and a decrypted data

Art Unit: 3628

message. In Shigenaga, the IC card 2 sends the decryption data to the card terminal 1, the IC card 2 does not encrypt the decryption data using the private key before sending to the card terminal 1, thus card terminal 1 does not decrypt the encrypted data using the public key. Thus, the IC card 2 only performs decrypt function using the private key. the terminal card 1 only performs encrypt function using the public key. However, Lee discloses the IC card performs both encrypt and decrypt function using an internal key stored in the card and the terminal card performs both encrypt and decrypt function using an identifying key stored in memory (column 6, lines 37-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shigenaga's to adopt the teaching of Lee for the purpose of improving the security, because the IC card 2 can apply the encrypt function using a secret key to encrypt the decryption data before sending to the card terminal 1, the card terminal 1 can apply the decrypt function using the public key to decrypt the encrypted data, thus the communication from the IC card 2 to the card terminal 1 is more secure with the encrypted data.

Shigenaga does not disclose the untrusted authentication chip is contained within a consumable device and the trusted authentication chip is contained within a consuming device. However, Examiner submits that IC card 2 and the card terminal 1 in Shigenaga are *analogous* to a consumable device and a consuming device, because the IC card 2 the card terminal 1, both contain the chips and perform the same roles as consumable device and consuming device. Thus, Shigenaga is an *analogous art* to the claimed invention, because Shigenaga's authentication protocol is performed the same

for validating the authenticity of the untrusted authentication chip as claiming in the claimed invention. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adopt the teaching of Shigenaga's by applying the Shigenaga's authentication protocol for consumable device and consuming device in order to validating the authenticity of the untrusted authentication chip in a specific product such as consumable device, for the purpose of enhancing the security in operating consumable device and consuming device.

Regarding to claim 2, Shigenaga discloses for validating the authenticity of an untrusted authentication chip, as well as ensuring that the authentication chip, lasts only as long as the consumable including the further steps of writing new data to the untrusted chip, performing the steps of claim 1, and in the event the untrusted is found to be authentic and the new data is the same as the data message read from the untrusted chip, then the write is validated (column 7, lines 5-30; storing the PIN send from card terminal 1 in IC card 2, comparing the stored PIN with the original PIN).

Regarding to claim 3, Shigenaga discloses the first key is a public key (column 7, lines 50-60).

Regarding to claim 4, Shigenaga discloses encryption outside the untrusted chip is implemented in software (column 8, lines 59-62; the encryption is implemented based on the RSA algorithm).

Regarding to claim 5, Shigenaga discloses the random number generation, encryption, passing, and final decrypting and comparing steps take place in an external

Application/Control Number: 09/517,608

Art Unit: 3628

system (column 5, line 20-column 6, lines 55, the random number generator, encryptor and comparison means are in the card terminal 1, the IC card 2 is the consumable).

Regarding to claims 6 and 9, Shigenaga does not teach the external system is in a printer or other device in which consumables such as ink cartridges are mounted, and the second authentication chip and system are in a printer or other device in which consumables are mounted. However, a printer or other devices in which consumables such as ink cartridges are mounted such as copy machine, camera, etc...are well known devices. Therefore, it would have been obvious to apply Shigenaga's cryptography method modified by Lee above for those devices for the purpose of prevent the unauthorized person to use such devices.

Regarding to claim 8, Shigenaga discloses the encryption outside the untrusted chip is implemented in a second authentication chip, and an external system intermediated between the two chips (column 8, lines 13-42).

Regarding to claim 11, Shigenaga discloses the secret key is held only by the untrusted chip (column 8, lines 1-12, private key code stored in the IC card 2).

Regarding to claim 12, Shigenaga does not teach the trusted authentication chip contains a random function to produce random numbers from a seed, and the function advances after every successful authentication so that the next random number will be produced from a different seed, for a group of authentication chips, the initial seed for each chip is different from that of the others in the group so that the first random number produced by each chip in the group will be different. However, it is well known to generate the next random number using a different seed in order to improve the level of

security, and to use a different initial seed for each chip in the group of chip. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify Shigenaga's modified by Lee above to include this feature for the purpose of providing high security level because each next random number is generated from a different seed and each chip has a different initial seed, thus the unauthorized person cannot easily to predict the random number.

Regarding to claim 13, Shigenaga discloses the data message is a memory vector of the authentication chip, a part is different for each chip, and parts of it are constant (read only) for each consumable, or decrement only so that it can be completely downcounted only once for each consumable (column 5, lines 20-67; data message is memory of the card terminal 1).

Regarding to claim 14, Shigenaga discloses a consumable authentication system includes:

a random number generator to generate an original random number in a trusted authentication chip (figure 2 and column 5, lines 20-31, random number generator 120 included in the card terminal 1);

an asymmetric encryptor to encrypt the generated original random number with an asymmetric encryption function to produce a first encrypted outcome and using a first key for the encryptor (figure 2 and column 5, lines 38-67; the RSA encrypter 121 in the card terminal 1);

an untrusted authentication chip, the untrusted authentication chip including a read function which operates to decrypt the fist encrypted outcome using a second secret key and produce a second decrypted outcome (column 6, lines 1-55; column 7,

Art Unit: 3628

line 65-column 8, line 12; the IC card receives the encrypted random number from the card terminal 1, the IC card 2 includes the RSA decrypter 263 to decrypts the encrypted random number using a private key code to produce a decrypted random number); and

a test function, the test function compares the decrypted random number and decrypted data message with the generate original random number and the received original data message, without knowledge of the second secret key; whereby, in the event of match the test function returns a valued indicating validity; otherwise it returns a value indicating invalidity (figure 2, column 2, lines 62-67 and column 8, lines 28-32, 63-66, the comparison unit 15 compares the decrypted random number with the original random number, without knowledge of the private key code stored in the IC card 2).

Shigenaga does not disclose the untrusted authentication chip then applies the symmetric encrypt function to the second decrypted outcome together with an original data message read using the second secret key to produce a third encrypted outcome, also retuning the third encrypt outcome together with the original data message; the test function operating to decrypt the third encrypt outcome using the first key to produce a decrypted random number and a decrypted data message. However, Lee discloses the IC card performs both encrypt and decrypt function using an internal key stored in the card and the terminal card performs both encrypt and decrypt function using an identifying key stored in memory (column 6, lines 37-67). See claim 1 above for the same motivation.

Shigenaga does not disclose the untrusted authentication chip is contained within a consumable device and the trusted authentication chip is contained within a

Page 10

Art Unit: 3628

consuming device. However, Examiner submits that IC card 2 and the card terminal 1 in Shigenaga are *analogous* to a consumable device and a consuming device, because the IC card 2 the card terminal 1, both contain the chips and perform the same roles as consumable device and consuming device. Thus, Shigenaga is an *analogous art* to the claimed invention, because Shigenaga's authentication protocol is performed the same for validating the authenticity of the untrusted authentication chip as claiming in the claimed invention. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adopt the teaching of Shigenaga's by applying the Shigenaga's authentication protocol for consumable device and consuming device in order to validating the authenticity of the untrusted authentication chip in a specific product such as consumable device, for the purpose of enhancing the security in operating consumable device and consuming device.

Claims 15-19, 21, 22, 24-27 contain similar limitations found in claims 2-6, 8, 9, 11-13, discussed above, therefore are rejected by the same rationale.

Regarding to claim 28-29, Shigenaga does not disclose wherein the untrusted chip comprises of an electronic noise generator to generate electronic noise to restrict detection of processing performed with the untrusted chip, a light emitting component operably connected to the electronic noise generator to randomly emit light to restrict detection of processing performed with in the untrursted chip. However, providing an electronic noise generator to generate electronic noise to restrict detection of processing performed with the untrusted chip, a light emitting component operably connected to the electronic noise generator to randomly emit light to restrict detection of

processing performed with in the untrursted chip. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shigenaga's to incorporate the well-known features above for the purpose of restricting detection of processing performed with the untrusted chip.

Conclusion

- 7. Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11-19, 21, 22, and 24-29 are rejected.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Nga B. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung S. Sough can be reached on (571) 272-6799.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600.

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

C/o Technology Center 3600

Washington, DC 20231

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communication intended for entry),

Art Unit: 3628

or

(571) 273-0325 (for informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Knox building, 501 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA, First Floor (Receptionist).

NGA NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

May 25, 2006